



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,867	12/12/2003	Anita W. Chan	WYNC-0779 (AM100274DIV)	1521
38791	7590 03/22/2005		EXAM	INER
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR			AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103		Jok	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1625	

DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/734,867	CHAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charanjit S. Aulakh	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet v	rith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was really received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Ja</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	anuary 2005. action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		ters, prosecution as to the merits	is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	-	• •				
Disposition of Claims		,				
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>41-51,54 and 55</u> is/are pending in the	application.	·				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	• •					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-51</u> is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>54 and 55</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•	•	•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing	ı(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	∣(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under-35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
-, 110/3B/00)	*/ 		1			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 41-51, 54 and 55 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on Jan. 14, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive regarding claims 54 and 55. The applicants have filed an affidavit under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 establishing that they invented this disclosed but unclaimed subject matter in the cited patent. This is true for unclaimed subject matter of instant claims 41-43 and 48-51. However, this is not true regarding instant claims 54 and 55 since this subject matter was claimed in claims 33 and 34 and therefore, is available as prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) since it was known to others (Mega Tran and Gary P. Stack).

Conclusion

- 3. Rejection of claims 54 and 55 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 4. rejection of claims 41-43 and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is now withdrawn in view of the declaration.

NEW GROUNDS OF REJECTION

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA

1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 54 and 55 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 33 and 34 of U.S. Patent No. 6,458,802. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the values of variables A, D and R10 are narrower in the claims 33 and 34 of the cited patent and are encompassed by the broader values of these variables in the instant application.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charanjit S. Aulakh whose telephone number is (571)272-0678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 A.M. to 5:00 P.M..
- - If attempts to-reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on (571)272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,867

Art Unit: 1625

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Charanjit S. Aulakh Primary Examiner Art Unit 1625